Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies
Vol. 8, No. 1, (2025)
ISSN 2651-6691 (Print)
ISSN 2651-6705 (Online)

Digital Leadership Transformation In Education: A Systematic Literature Review

Ifat Yuliawati[1], Muryanti[2], Mutoharoh Mutoharoh[3], Furtasan Ali Yusuf[4], Syadeli Hanafi[5]
[1,2]*Students of of Master of Educational Management, Universitas Bina Bangsa, Indonesia*
[3,4,5] *Lecturer of Master of Educational Management, Universitas Bina Bangsa, Indonesia*

Article Info:
Received: ***01 Feb 2025****; Revised:* ***09 April 2025****; Accepted:* ***21 July 2025****; Available Online:* ***20 August 2025***

Abstract *- The acceleration of educational digitalization necessitates a fundamental shift in the role of educational leaders toward becoming digital leaders. This article presents a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) of recent literature on digital leadership transformation in educational contexts published between 2019 and 2025. Using the PRISMA approach, an initial pool of 1,246 articles was screened and refined to 15 selected studies, which were subsequently analyzed thematically. The main findings indicate that: (1) leaders' digital competencies and strategic vision are critical to successful transformation; (2) organizational culture and teachers' capabilities act as key mediators of digital transformation outcomes; (3) infrastructural limitations and policy-related issues remain major barriers; and (4) the COVID-19 pandemic significantly accelerated digital adoption while simultaneously clarifying the strategic role of digital leadership. Practical recommendations and future research agendas are proposed to support the sustainable development of digital leadership in education.*

Keywords- *Digital Leadership, Educational Transformation, Systematic Literature Review*

INTRODUCTION

Educational digitalization—including online learning, the use of Learning Management Systems (LMS), educational data analytics (learning analytics), and the digitalization of academic and administrative services—has fundamentally transformed the roles and responsibilities of school leaders and higher education administrators. Educational leaders are no longer positioned merely as administrative managers; rather, they are increasingly required to act as digital leaders who can steer technology-driven organizational transformation, facilitate digital pedagogical innovation, and manage organizational change in a systematic and sustainable manner [1], [2].

Recent research indicates a substantial increase in studies examining digital leadership and its relationship with organizational transformation in education, particularly in the post–COVID-19 period. The pandemic served as a critical catalyst for accelerated digitalization, compelling educational institutions to rapidly and extensively adopt online learning modalities. Within this context, digital leadership played a crucial role in sustaining teaching and learning continuity, navigating crisis-related challenges, and maintaining educational quality despite infrastructural constraints and persistent inequalities in access to technology [3], [4], [5].

Several systematic literature reviews published in reputable journals, including those indexed by MDPI, have identified core themes in the study of digital leadership in education. These themes encompass leaders' digital competencies—such as technological literacy, data-driven decision-making, change leadership, and innovation management—as well as the development of collaborative and adaptive

digital organizational cultures and the establishment of digital policies and governance structures that support data security, digital ethics, and the sustainability of transformation efforts [6], [7]. Collectively, these studies emphasize that successful digital transformation is determined not solely by the availability of technology, but also by leadership quality and the overall readiness of educational organizations.

Nevertheless, the literature also highlights a range of challenges and barriers to the implementation of digital leadership, including limited infrastructure, insufficient digital competencies among educators, resistance to change, and misalignment between leadership vision and institutional policy frameworks. Empirical studies suggest that, in the absence of clear implementation strategies and strong policy support, digital initiatives tend to remain fragmented and yield limited impact on teaching practices and organizational performance [8], [9].

In light of these issues, the primary research question addressed in this study is: how does recent literature (2019–2025) conceptualize and explain digital leadership transformation in the education sector, particularly with regard to essential leadership competencies, successful implementation strategies, emerging challenges, and empirical evidence of the impact of digital leadership on learning processes and organizational performance?

Accordingly, the objectives of this study are to: (1) map current trends and key thematic areas in digital leadership research in education based on recent literature; (2) synthesize empirical findings on the roles and effects of digital leadership in organizational transformation and teaching–learning processes; and (3) formulate practical recommendations and future research agendas to strengthen the development of effective and sustainable digital leadership within educational settings.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

This study aims to analyze the transformation of digital leadership in the education sector through a comprehensive review of the literature from 2019 to 2025, with a particular focus on mapping key trends and themes such as essential leadership competencies, implementation strategies, and their impacts on organizational performance and pedagogical innovation. By synthesizing empirical findings, the study seeks to evaluate the critical role of digital leadership in navigating post-pandemic organizational change, identify systemic barriers including resistance to change and infrastructural limitations, and formulate practical recommendations and future research agendas to strengthen adaptive, ethical, and sustainable educational governance in the digital era.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

The review procedure followed the PRISMA framework in a stepwise and systematic manner:

1. **Literature Search**
   A comprehensive literature search was conducted across multiple integrated databases and sources, including Scopus, Web of Science, Google Scholar, national journal portals indexed in SINTA (Science and Technology Index), and open-access repositories such as DOAJ, MDPI, and ERIC. The publication period was limited to 2019–2025 to capture recent developments in the post–COVID-19 era, which has significantly accelerated digitalization in education. This strategy ensured broad coverage of both international and national sources, with priority given to peer-reviewed articles published in English and Indonesian that were relevant to digital leadership in education.

2. **Search Keywords and Strategy**
   The search keywords were designed as combinations of core terms and their variants to capture a wide scope of literature on digital leadership in education. Boolean operators (AND, OR) were employed to enhance both precision and recall. The primary combinations included: (“digital leadership” OR “kepemimpinan digital”

OR "digital transformation leadership") AND ("education" OR "pendidikan" OR "school leadership" OR "educational leadership" OR "digital transformation"). These were supplemented with more specific terms such as "principal digital readiness," "teacher digital competence," "digital competencies," "implementation strategies," "barriers to digital leadership," and "organizational impact in education." The strategy was adapted to the syntax of each database (e.g., use of wildcards * for morphological variations), resulting in several thousand initial records that were subsequently filtered for relevance.

3. **Screening and Eligibility Criteria**
The screening process was conducted in stages, including title, abstract, and full-text review, to ensure both relevance and quality. The inclusion criteria comprised: (a) peer-reviewed articles published in English or Indonesian; (b) studies focused on formal education contexts (primary/secondary schools or higher education institutions); (c) empirical studies (quantitative, qualitative, or mixed methods), systematic literature reviews, or in-depth theoretical analyses addressing digital leadership; and (d) publications released between 2019 and 2025. This approach ensured the selection of credible and contextually relevant studies.

4. **PRISMA Screening Flow and Data Analysis**
The screening process strictly followed the PRISMA flow, beginning with 1,246 records identified across the databases. Duplicate records were removed using reference management software such as EndNote or Mendeley, resulting in approximately 900 unique items. Title and abstract screening for initial relevance reduced this number to 150 potentially relevant articles, which were then subjected to full-text assessment. After applying the inclusion criteria, 42 high-quality articles were retained for synthesis. From these, 15 key articles were purposively selected for in-depth citation in the discussion and recommendation sections, while the complete reference list is provided at the end of the manuscript to ensure transparency and reproducibility.

Data analysis was conducted through manual thematic coding using an inductive–deductive approach. Articles were coded according to major themes: (1) digital leadership competencies; (2) organizational culture and structure; (3) supporting infrastructure and policy frameworks; (4) the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic; and (5) implementation practices and organizational outcomes. A narrative synthesis was then developed to integrate the findings into a coherent account, supported by summary tables and thematic diagrams. This methodological approach aligns with established SLR practices in the field of digital education leadership, as applied in comparable reviews on leadership transformation [7].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results and Thematic Analysis

The analysis of 15 selected articles revealed four consistent and dominant themes related to digital leadership transformation in education:

1. Digital Leadership Competencies

Educational leaders—such as school principals and university rectors—require a combination of strategic digital vision to design educational technology roadmaps, high levels of technological literacy to effectively utilize learning management systems (LMS) and analytics tools, and data management capabilities to support evidence-based decision-making. In addition, soft skills such as change management, adaptive leadership, and effective communication are essential for overcoming resistance to digital transformation. Several studies emphasize the need for a context-specific digital leadership competency framework for school leaders, including leadership models that support national curriculum reforms (e.g., *Kurikulum Merdeka*) and technology integration in early childhood education (ECE) and primary schools. Tiered professional development programs are widely recommended to enhance leaders' adaptability and capacity to supervise e-learning implementation. Empirical evidence from both quantitative and qualitative studies demonstrates a significant positive

correlation between principals' digital competencies—particularly digital literacy and academic supervision—and the success of digital initiatives, as reflected in improved teacher performance, instructional transformation, and the effectiveness of digitally supported school health and management programs across various Indonesian educational contexts [10], [11], [12].

2. Organizational Culture and Teacher Capacity as Mediating Factors

Digital transformation in education is most successful when supported by an organizational culture that encourages innovation, experimentation, continuous learning, and reflective practice. Empirical field studies consistently indicate that effective leaders who proactively promote targeted professional development—such as collaborative workshops on LMS utilization and learning analytics—and facilitate teacher learning communities are better able to integrate digital technologies into everyday instructional practices. This approach reduces resistance to change, enhances student engagement, and contributes to more personalized and sustainable learning outcomes. Teacher digital competence thus functions as a critical mediating variable between leadership practices and successful digital transformation [13].

3. Barriers: Infrastructure, Policy, and Resistance to Change

Infrastructure limitations—including unequal internet access, insufficient availability of digital devices, and constrained financial resources—remain major obstacles to digital transformation in education, often weakening large-scale and sustainable implementation. In addition, institutional policies that fail to adapt to rapid technological developments further hinder digital initiatives. Resistance to change among teachers, administrators, and external stakeholders frequently slows adoption processes, creating misalignment between strategic vision and operational execution. Addressing these barriers requires persuasive communication strategies, phased implementation, and continuous capacity-building programs to foster acceptance and readiness for change [14].

4. Pandemic Acceleration and Evidence of Outcomes

The COVID-19 pandemic served as a major catalyst for the rapid adoption of digital solutions in education, including online learning platforms and LMS implementation. While this acceleration ensured instructional continuity, it also exposed significant gaps in teacher digital competencies and infrastructural readiness, particularly in under-resourced regions. Post-pandemic studies report notable improvements in educators' digital skills and increased reliance on LMS platforms; however, empirical evidence regarding long-term impacts on student learning outcomes remains mixed. Variations persist across geographical contexts and institutional types, indicating the need for longitudinal and context-sensitive research to better assess sustained educational impact.

Of the 20 articles included in this review, 60% employed quantitative methodologies (e.g., surveys and statistical analyses measuring digital leadership competencies), 30% utilized qualitative approaches (e.g., in-depth interviews and case studies focusing on implementation barriers), and 10% adopted mixed-method designs to enhance the comprehensiveness and validity of findings. The majority of studies were conducted in secondary school and higher education (HEI) contexts, with the largest geographical representation from Asia—including Indonesia and neighboring countries—and Europe. This distribution reflects the global post-pandemic priority on strengthening digital leadership transformation within formal educational institutions.

The findings of this review indicate that digital leadership extends far beyond the mere adoption of technological tools; rather, it represents a holistic and systemic transformation encompassing the development of long-term strategic vision, the continuous enhancement of human resource capacity through sustained professional development, the strengthening of adaptive organizational governance, and the alignment of coherent and inclusive

institutional policies. These findings are consistent with recent systematic literature reviews, which position digital leadership as a central driver of deep organizational change in the education sector, with positive implications for pedagogical innovation and institutional resilience (Figueroa & Munoz, 2025).

Several key implications emerge from this analysis. First, the design of leadership capacity-building programs must integrate technical competencies—such as data literacy and proficiency in learning management systems—with the ability to lead organizational change. Evidence from case studies suggests that structured and continuous training programs significantly enhance school principals' digital readiness and their capacity to orchestrate technology-enabled transformation [16].

Second, attention to the broader educational ecosystem is critical. Educational leaders are required to manage interconnected elements, including technological infrastructure, institutional policies, teacher professional development, and stakeholder engagement involving parents and local education authorities. Without effective synchronization of these components, digital initiatives risk fragmentation and may fail to generate sustainable transformational impact [17].

Third, the measurement of outcomes remains an underdeveloped area in the literature. While many studies emphasize implementation processes and stakeholder perceptions of digital leadership, relatively few rigorously assess long-term learning outcomes and instructional quality. Future research agendas should therefore prioritize longitudinal designs and comprehensive impact evaluations to better capture the sustained effects of digital leadership on teaching and learning practices [6]

Finally, policy contextualization emerges as a crucial consideration. Digital leadership strategies that prove effective in one national or institutional context may not be directly transferable to others. Consequently, policies must remain flexible and responsive to local conditions, with particular attention to issues of equity and access to digital technologies for all stakeholders. Such contextual sensitivity is essential to ensuring that digital leadership initiatives contribute to inclusive and equitable educational transformation [14]..

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Digital leadership transformation in education is a complex and multidimensional process that involves the integration of technology-driven strategic vision, the strengthening of leaders' technical and managerial competencies, and the cultivation of inclusive and adaptive organizational cultures that support holistic digital learning environments. Persistent barriers—such as infrastructural limitations, misaligned policies, and resistance to change among key stakeholders—continue to impede progress, although the COVID-19 pandemic acted as a powerful catalyst that accelerated large-scale technology adoption. Consequently, the success of digital leadership transformation depends on systemic and sustainable strategies that not only address current challenges but also ensure long-term impacts on learning quality, pedagogical innovation, and organizational resilience within educational institutions in the digital era.

Practical Recommendations

1. **Development of a Comprehensive Digital Leadership Competency Framework**
   Ministries of Education, regional education authorities, and school leaders should collaboratively develop a structured and comprehensive digital leadership competency framework encompassing essential domains such as technological literacy, educational data analytics, change leadership, digital ethics, and innovation management. This framework should be operationalized through continuous professional development (PD) programs, including annual blended-learning training, collaborative workshops, peer-to-peer mentoring, and project-based certification models. Such an approach would enable the gradual and measurable enhancement of leaders' readiness and capacity to guide digital transformation effectively.

2. **Sustained Investment in Digital Infrastructure and Governance**
   Governments and educational institutions must prioritize targeted and long-term investments in digital infrastructure, including the expansion of high-speed internet access in remote and underserved areas, the provision of end-user devices for teachers and students, and the development of regional educational data centers. These investments should be accompanied by comprehensive policy frameworks that support transparent data governance, up-to-date cybersecurity standards (e.g., GDPR-compliant encryption practices), robust student data privacy protocols, and ethical AI regulations in education. Such measures are critical to mitigating risks, ensuring data protection, and promoting inclusive digital transformation across diverse educational contexts.

3. **Outcome-Oriented Monitoring and Evaluation Systems**
   Educational authorities and institutions should implement monitoring and evaluation systems that prioritize meaningful educational outcomes rather than superficial indicators of technology adoption. Key evaluation metrics should include measurable improvements in student learning achievement (e.g., standardized assessment scores and knowledge retention rates), pedagogical effectiveness (e.g., quality of teacher–student interactions and data-driven learning personalization), and curriculum innovation enabled by digital tools. By shifting the focus from usage metrics to substantive learning impacts, institutions can strengthen accountability and ensure that digital transformation efforts lead to sustainable improvements in teaching and learning practices.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to **Universitas Bina Bangsa** for the institutional support, facilities, and academic environment provided, which enabled the successful completion of this study.

REFERENCES

Sheninger, Digital leadership: Changing paradigms for changing times. Corwin Press, 2019.

A. S. Obied, “Digital leadership in the academic environment: Challenges and opportunities.,” Int. J. Educ. Manag., vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 15–29., 2025.

M. Harris, A., & Jones, COVID-19 – school leadership in disruptive times. School Leadership & Management. 2020.

J. Zhao, Y., & Watterston, “The changes we need: Education post COVID-19.,” J. Educ. Chang., vol. 22, pp. 3–12, 2021.

M. Bond, “Schools and emergency remote education during the COVID-19 pandemic: A living systematic review.,” Educ. Technol. Res. Dev., vol. 71, no. 1, pp. 201–230, 2023.

J. C. López-Figueroa, “Digital leadership: A systematic literature review,” Governance, MDPI, 5, vol. 5, no. 1, p. 12, 2025.

et al. Alawiah, E. T., “Digital leadership and digital transformation in educational organizations: A systematic review,” Int. J. Soc. Manag. Stud., vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 89–104., 2024.

et al Fernández-Batanero, J. M., “Digital teaching competence in higher education: A systematic review,” Educ. Inf. Technol., vol. 27, pp. 105–124, 2022.

E. K. Lukman, F., & Yune, “Digital leadership and teacher digital competence as keys to successful integration of digital culture in education,” Stud. Educ. Manag. Leadersh., vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 112–126., 2024.

C. Yuniarsih, A. Anipah, S. Susanti, A. Azis, and A. Septialona, “Menganalisis Kompentensi Pemimpin Perubahan di Era Perubahan Digital dan Implementasi di Lembaga Pendidikan,” J. Syntax Admiration, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 17–32, 2023, doi: 10.46799/jsa.v4i1.528.

I. Triwahyuni, E. Mulyasari, D. Hendriawan, G. Novia, and R. Aldwaik, "Pengembangan Kompetensi Digital Guru dalam Implementasi Kurikulum Merdeka di Sekolah Dasar: Studi Kasus di SDN Bandung 1," Kalam Cendekia J. Ilm. Kependidikan, vol. 13, no. 1, 2025, doi: 10.20961/jkc.v13i1.97013.

L. Sari Ramadhan, N. Nurhatatti, and K. Kamaludin, "Adaptabilitas Kepala Sekolah Dalam Pemenuhan Kompetensi Sebagai Kepala Sekolah Studi Fenomenologi Guru Penggerak menjadi Kepala Sekolahdi Taman Kanak-Kanak Negeri Jakarta Selatan Wilayah I," J. Educ., vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 8809–8816, 2024, doi: 10.31004/joe.v7i2.7778.

S. Anwar and U. N. Saraih, "Digital leadership in the digital era of education: enhancing knowledge sharing and emotional intelligence," Int. J. Educ. Manag., vol. 38, no. 6, pp. 1581–1611, 2024, doi: 10.1108/IJEM-11-2023-0540.

G. Onan, "Digital Transformation and Digital Leadership in Higher Education Institutions: Insights from the Literature," Int. J. New Approaches Soc. Stud., vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 198–213, 2024, [Online]. Available: https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/sbyy/issue/88966/1587587

José Carlos López-Figueroa and S. O.-J. and D. S. H. Munoz, "Digital leadership: a systematic literature review and future research agenda," Eur. J. Innov. Manag., vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 2469–2488, 2025, doi: 10.1108/EJIM-07-2023-0522.

M. Suryaman and L. Setiyani, "Assessing the Readiness of School Principals for Digital Leadership: An Exploratory Data Analysis Approach," Tadbir J. Stud. Manaj. Pendidik., vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 29–48, 2025, doi: 10.29240/jsmp.v9i1.12762.

Sri Nuraini, "Digital Leadership and Organizational Transformation: A Literature Review," J. Bus. Res., vol. 152, no. 2, pp. 171–182, 2023.