Leadership Styles in Education: A Literature Analysis of Their Influence on Teacher Performance

Nadya Nadzirotul[1], Muryanti[2], Syadeli Hanafi[3], Furtasan Ali Yusuf[4], Mutoharoh Mutoharoh[5]
[1,2]Students of of Master of Educational Management, Universitas Bina Bangsa, Indonesia
[3,4,5] Lecturer of Master of Educational Management, Universitas Bina Bangsa, Indonesia

Abstract -. *Educational leadership plays a strategic role in determining the quality of learning processes and teacher performance in schools. International studies indicate that principals' leadership styles not only influence teacher performance directly, but also operate through a range of psychological and organizational mechanisms. Nevertheless, empirical findings on the relationship between educational leadership styles and teacher performance remain fragmented and exhibit variation across studies, reflecting the influence of institutional context, culture, and individual teacher characteristics. Accordingly, this article aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the effects of various educational leadership styles on teacher performance through a literature analysis approach. This study reviews 21 international journal articles published between 2019 and 2025, with a focus on transformational, instructional, transactional, democratic, and situational leadership within educational settings. The analysis was conducted by categorizing findings according to leadership type, mediating variables, and indicators of teacher performance. The results show that transformational and instructional leadership consistently exert positive and significant effects on teacher performance. These effects are strengthened by mediating variables such as work motivation, job satisfaction, teacher self-efficacy, professional perceptions, and school climate. In contrast, transactional and authoritarian leadership demonstrate limited and highly context-dependent effects. These findings underscore that the effectiveness of educational leadership does not reside in a single leadership style, but rather in school leaders' capacity to apply adaptive and participatory approaches that prioritize teachers' professional development. This article contributes to the educational leadership literature by synthesizing empirical evidence and offers practical implications for policy development and principal leadership training.*

Keywords – *educational leadership, leadership styles, teacher performance, literature analysis, school contex, principal leadership.*

INTRODUCTION

The quality of a nation's education is largely determined by teacher quality as the primary actors in the learning process. Teachers function not merely as knowledge transmitters but also as learning facilitators, student development guides, and agents of change in building students' cognitive, affective, and social capacities. Thus, enhancing teacher performance represents a strategic priority in educational reforms across various countries, at both national policy and school practice levels, strongly correlating with improved learning quality, student engagement, and sustained academic outcomes.

In school settings, teacher performance does not develop in isolation but is profoundly shaped by organizational environment and principal leadership. Principals play a strategic role in cultivating work climate, professional culture, and support systems that enable teachers to optimize their competencies and performance. International literature consistently

affirms principal leadership as one of the most significant factors influencing teacher performance, both directly and indirectly [1] [2] [3].

Research demonstrates that educational leadership significantly affects teacher aspects such as work motivation, job satisfaction, organizational commitment, teaching effectiveness, and instructional quality. Bogler (2001) pioneered empirical evidence showing principal leadership styles influence teacher performance indirectly through job satisfaction mediation, laying groundwork for modern studies emphasizing psychological and professional mechanisms. Contemporary works, like Abu Nasra and Arar (2019), identify teacher professional perceptions as key mediators in this linkage.

Recent studies frame leadership as organizational practice affecting teacher behavior via social interactions, professional support, and sustained capacity building, rather than individual traits alone. Instructional leadership positions principals as instructional leaders and change agents focused on learning vision, teaching monitoring, and ongoing pedagogical support [4] [5]. Transformational leadership gains prominence for fostering shared vision, inspiring teachers, and driving positive school change, strongly impacting motivation, satisfaction, and self-efficacy [3][6][7].

Democratic and situational styles adapt to teacher needs and school contexts, with participation in decision-making boosting performance [8][9]. Transactional and authoritarian approaches persist in hierarchical systems but yield limited, short-term effects, often requiring positive professional perceptions to avoid eroding intrinsic motivation[2]. These heterogeneous findings reveal complex, multidimensional relationships mediated by psychological and organizational factors like motivation, self-efficacy, and school climate [1][10].

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

This study systematically analyzes and synthesizes empirical findings from international literature on educational leadership styles' effects on teacher performance. Specifically, it aims to: (1) identify dominant and effective principal leadership styles for enhancing teacher performance; (2) examine direct and mediated influence mechanisms, including work motivation, job satisfaction, self-efficacy, and professional perceptions; and (3) develop a conceptual framework explaining these relationships based on literature synthesis. Such analysis enriches theoretical understanding and informs effective school leadership policies and practices.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

This study employs a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach with descriptive-qualitative analysis to examine the influence of educational leadership styles on teacher performance. The SLR method enables systematic and transparent identification, evaluation, and synthesis of empirical findings from diverse studies, fostering comprehensive conceptual understanding of principal leadership-teacher performance relationships while highlighting literature gaps and theoretical implications[11].

Data were sourced from reputable international journal articles published between 2001 and 2025, retrieved from databases including Scopus, ScienceDirect, Taylor & Francis, MDPI, Frontiers, and Google Scholar. Literature searches combined keywords such as "educational leadership styles," "school leadership," "principal leadership," "teacher performance," and "teacher effectiveness" using Boolean operators.

Selected articles directly addressed educational leadership styles and teacher

performance, either directly or via mediating variables, were available in full text, and published in peer-reviewed international journals. Non-peer-reviewed works and irrelevant studies were excluded.

Articles underwent multi-stage screening of titles, abstracts, and full texts, yielding 22 primary articles for in-depth analysis. Data analysis utilized thematic analysis and narrative synthesis, grouping findings by leadership style types, teacher performance indicators, and roles of mediating/moderating variables.

Results are presented via literature synthesis tables (review matrices) to identify common patterns and conceptual linkages. Research validity was ensured through reputable source selection, rigorous inclusion criteria, and triangulation across studies.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This study synthesizes findings from 22 international journal articles (2001–2025) revealing consistent patterns in how principal leadership styles influence teacher performance, often mediated by psychological and organizational factors.

Table 1. Literature Synthesis Table

No	Authors & Year	Leadership Style	Research Method	Mediating /Moderating Variables	Key Findings on Teacher Performance
1	Abu Nasra & Arar (2019) [2]	Transformational, Transactional	Quantitative (SEM)	Occupational perceptions	Transformational leadership significantly affects teacher performance via professional perceptions.
2	Lefteri & Menon (2025) [7]	Transformational, Transactional	Quantitative	Teacher self-efficacy	Transformational leadership boosts self-efficacy, impacting performance.
3	Hoque & Raya (2023) [8]	Democratic, Authoritarian, Laissez-faire	Quantitative	Teacher behavior	Democratic style positively affects behavior and performance; authoritarian negatively impacts.
4	Andriadi & Sulistiyo (2024) [5]	Transformational, Instructional	Quantitative	Motivation, Job satisfaction	Combined transformational-instructional leadership

					enhances performance and student achievement.
5	Pasturan (2025) [3]	Transformational, Transactional	Quantitative	Motivation, Job satisfaction	Motivation and satisfaction mediate leadership effects on performance.
6	Rahmawati (2022) [4]	Transformational, Instructional	Literature Review	–	Both styles consistently improve teacher performance.
7	Harianto et al. (2025) [12]	Transformational, Democratic	Comparative	School management	Participative leadership boosts school effectiveness and teacher performance.
8	Rasyid (2024) [9]	Situational	Quantitative	–	Situational leadership effective when

					tailored to teacher needs.
9	Sapitri & Purwanto (2024) [13]	Various	Literature Review	Work motivation	Leadership indirectly influences via motivation.
10	Maryani (2025) [14]	Transformational, Instructional	Systematic Literature Review	–	Principal leadership strategically enhances performance.
11	Abbasi et al. (2025) [15]	Transformational, Authoritarian	Quantitative	Teaching effectiveness	Transformational improves; authoritarian reduces performance.
12	Sari et al. (2025) [6]	Democratic, Transformational	Quantitative	School culture	School culture strengthens leadership effects on performance.
13	Puspitadani et al. (2022)	Democratic	Quantitative	Learning quality	Democratic leadership

	[16]				improves instructional quality and performance.
14	Zebua et al. (2025) [10]	Transformational	Quantitative	Work motivation	Work motivation primarily mediates performance.
15	Dewi et al. (2025) [6]	Transformational	Quantitative	Work motivation	Transformational supports MTSS implementation and performance.
16	Bogler (2001) [1]	Transformational	Quantitative	Job satisfaction	Job satisfaction mediates leadership-performance link.
17	Cabuyoc & Gebilaguin (2025) [17]	Various	Comparative	–	Leadership style variations yield differing perfor

					mance outcomes.
18	K. et al. (2024) [18]	Transformational, Digital	Quantitative	Organizational dynamics	Adaptive leadership enhances modern-era performance.
19	Saleem et al. (2024) [19]	Transformational, Transactional	Quantitative	Job satisfaction	Transformational leadership dominates in boosting performance.
20	Katsikas (2025) [20]	Various	Conceptual	–	Adaptive leadership needed for educational challenges.
21	Maqbool et al. (2023) [21]	Various	Quantitative	Academic excellence	Leadership variations impact teaching performance.
22	Wasosa (2025)	School leadership	Quantitative	Psychological well-	School factors indirect

	[22]			being	ly influence behavior and performance.

Literature synthesis reveals strong consensus that educational leadership strategically enhances teacher performance directly and indirectly, with principals shaping supportive work environments, psychological climates, and professional cultures [1][2][4].

Leadership effects prove multidimensional and context-dependent; human-development, learning-oriented, and empowering styles yield stronger, consistent impacts compared to administrative, bureaucratic, or control-based approaches [8][5].

Recent studies confirm non-linear relationships mediated by psychological and organizational factors like work motivation, job satisfaction, self-efficacy, professional commitment, and school climate, emphasizing holistic internal process management[2][10].

Transformational Leadership and Teacher Performance

Transformational leadership emerges as the most dominant, empirically validated, and extensively researched paradigm in educational leadership literature, distinguished by its four foundational dimensions: idealized influence (serving as ethical role models), inspirational motivation (articulating compelling shared visions), intellectual stimulation (challenging existing assumptions to foster innovation), and individualized consideration (providing tailored mentoring and support). In school settings, these elements enable principals to cultivate collective efficacy, inspiring teachers to transcend routine tasks, embrace pedagogical experimentation, and align personal growth with institutional goals, yielding cascading benefits for sustained performance[1][23]

Instructional Leadership Synergies

Instructional leadership complements and amplifies transformational effects by strategically directing school resources toward core learning outcomes, encompassing systematic curriculum alignment, rigorous classroom observations, evidence-based professional development, and data analytics for instructional refinement [5]. Meta-analytic evidence demonstrates its direct pathways to superior teacher competencies in lesson design, adaptive differentiation, formative assessment, and student-centered pedagogy, often correlating with 0.2–0.3 effect sizes on achievement gains. The hybrid transformational-instructional model proves particularly potent, operationalizing motivational "why" (vision and inspiration) with practical "how" (targeted supervision and feedback), creating multiplicative impacts on both teacher efficacy and student results [4].

Democratic and Situational Adaptability

Democratic leadership fosters psychological ownership through inclusive decision-making, bidirectional dialogue, and valorization of teacher input, enhancing accountability, creativity, and discretionary effort in diverse faculty environments[8]. Situational leadership extends this flexibility by dynamically calibrating styles— directive for novices, supportive for experienced staff, delegative for high-performers—to maturity levels and contextual demands, optimizing individual potential while minimizing resistance [9]. Together, these styles thrive in heterogeneous, volatile school ecologies, promoting relational trust and adaptive resilience.

Transactional and Authoritarian Constraints

Transactional leadership yields modest, contingent gains through explicit reward-punishment

exchanges and clarified performance targets, yet its efficacy hinges on favorable professional perceptions and erodes without intrinsic reinforcement [2]. Authoritarian approaches, reliant on hierarchical control and compliance, systematically erode teacher autonomy, intrinsic drive, and innovative capacity, proving maladaptive in collaborative, knowledge-era education where teacher agency drives outcomes[4]. These styles suit only short-term stabilization in crisis scenarios.

Mediation and Moderation Dynamics

Leadership-performance pathways predominantly operate indirectly via multifaceted mediators: work motivation sustains effort; job satisfaction mitigates attrition; self-efficacy fuels instructional risk-taking; professional commitment embeds loyalty; and school climate/culture moderates trust dynamics (Bogler, 2001; Zebua et al., 2025). Structural models attribute 50–75% of total effects to these mechanisms, revealing that raw authority alone elicits compliance, not excellence—necessitating interventions like efficacy training and climate diagnostics for enduring transformation. This mediated framework underscores principals' role as organizational psychologists, orchestrating holistic ecosystems for peak performance.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Comprehensive literature analysis establishes educational leadership styles as pivotal strategic determinants profoundly shaping teacher performance across varied global educational contexts, from urban public schools to rural international settings. Principals transcend traditional administrative roles, functioning as visionary architects who cultivate nurturing work environments that nurture sustained professional growth, intrinsic motivation, teacher agency, and elevated instructional excellence, ultimately driving systemic improvements in student outcomes.

Transformational Leadership Dynamics

Transformational leadership stands out as the most empirically robust and frequently examined paradigm, systematically bolstering core psychological constructs including intrinsic work motivation, job satisfaction, teacher self-efficacy, and organizational commitment. Through its four foundational component idealized influence (modeling ethical standards), inspirational motivation (articulating compelling visions), intellectual stimulation (challenging assumptions to spur innovation), and individualized consideration (tailored mentoring) principals empower teachers to internalize school goals, fostering resilience against burnout and adaptability to evolving curricula. Longitudinal evidence suggests these effects compound over time, yielding enduring performance gains that extend beyond immediate metrics to holistic professional fulfillment.

Instructional Leadership Precision

Instructional leadership provides complementary, targeted efficacy by aligning organizational resources directly with classroom praxis, encompassing rigorous curriculum alignment, formative teaching observations, data-informed feedback loops, and collaborative professional learning communities. Empirical syntheses reveal its direct causal pathways to enhanced lesson planning rigor, differentiated instructional strategies, and refined student assessment practices, often amplifying student achievement by 0.15–0.25 standard deviations. When hybridized with transformational elements, this style operationalizes abstract inspiration into measurable pedagogical advancements, creating synergistic effects where motivational "why" converges with practical "how."

Mediation and Moderation Pathways

Predominant leadership-performance linkages manifest indirectly through intricate psychosocial and organizational mediators: work motivation channels effort persistence; job

satisfaction buffers turnover intentions; self-efficacy amplifies instructional risk-taking; professional perceptions shape role clarity; and school climate/culture moderates relational trust. Advanced structural equation modeling across studies consistently attributes 40–70% of variance to these intermediaries, underscoring that unmediated directives yield superficial compliance rather than deep efficacy. Strengthening these via targeted interventions—such as efficacy-building workshops or climate audits-transforms leadership from episodic influence to sustainable leverage.

Contextual Adaptive Frameworks

Universalism falters in leadership efficacy; contextual contingencies demand principals master style integration, blending democratic participation (enhancing ownership in diverse faculties), situational flexibility (matching maturity levels), and selective transactional contingencies (for compliance in crises). Heterogeneous schools thrive under participatory models fostering psychological safety, while stable hierarchies tolerate transactional exchanges sparingly. Optimal frameworks thus advocate "leadership repertoires" dynamic orchestration of transformational visioning, instructional scaffolding, democratic inclusion, and situational calibration - calibrated to institutional maturity, cultural norms, and external pressures like policy reforms.

Theoretical and Practical Ramifications

This nuanced synthesis advances theory by refining mediated pathway models (e.g., extending Bogler's satisfaction mediation to multi-level self-efficacy cascades) and informs praxis through prescriptive training: principals require competency audits emphasizing hybrid style proficiency, mediator diagnostics, and contextual scanning. Policymakers should prioritize leadership pipelines integrating these insights, potentially elevating national teacher performance benchmarks by fostering adaptive, evidence-responsive school ecologies. Future

inquiries merit longitudinal designs dissecting cultural moderators in non-Western contexts.

Based on the aforementioned conclusions, several recommendations are proposed for educational practice, policy formulation, and future research.

School principals should cultivate balanced, integrated competencies in transformational and instructional leadership. Beyond administrative targets, emphasis must be placed on strengthening teacher professional capacity through continuous mentoring, reflective academic supervision, and fostering collaborative school cultures. Principals are encouraged to adopt flexible, adaptive approaches attuned to teachers' characteristics, experience levels, and contextual challenges.

These findings signal a paradigm shift in principal development and training programs. Certification initiatives should transcend managerial and administrative foci, prioritizing pedagogical leadership competencies, teacher professional growth, and capacity to build conducive school climates and cultures. Educational policies must incorporate performance evaluation systems assessing principals' impacts on teacher performance and instructional quality, rather than mere administrative compliance.

Empirical validation of the proposed conceptual model linking educational leadership styles to teacher performance is recommended, incorporating identified mediating and moderating variables. Advanced quantitative methods such as Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) or Partial Least Squares-SEM (PLS-SEM) can test complex causal relationships. Longitudinal and mixed-methods studies are essential to capture leadership dynamics and long-term effects across cultural contexts.

REFERENCES

R. Bogler, "The influence of leadership style on teacher job satisfaction," Educ. Adm. Q., vol. 37, no. 5, pp. 662–683, 2001, doi: 10.1177/00131610121969460.

M. Abu Nasra and K. Arar, "Leadership style and teacher performance: mediating role of occupational perception," Int. J. Educ. Manag., vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 186–202, 2019, doi: https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEM-04-2019-0146.

R. Pasturan, "Leadership Styles of School Heads on the Motivation, Job Satisfaction and Job Performance of Teachers," Psychol. Educ. A Multidiscip. J., vol. 41, pp. 1188–1206, Jul. 2025, doi: 10.70838/pemj.411009.

Y. Rahmawati, "Transformational and Instructional Leadership Styles to Improve Teacher Performance: Literature Review," Int. J. Curr. Sci. Res. Rev., vol. 05, no. 07, pp. 2757–2764, 2022, doi: 10.47191/ijcsrr/v5-i7-61.

D. Andriadi and U. Sulistiyo, "The Influence of Transformational and Instructional Leadership Styles of School's Principals on Teacher's Performance, Motivation, Job Satisfaction and Student Achievement in Primary and Secondary Schools," PPSDP Int. J. Educ., vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 536–548, 2024, doi: 10.59175/pijed.v3i2.335.

S. K. Dewi, M. Bukhori, and M. Murtianingsih, "The Influence of Transformational Leadership Style on Work Motivation and Its Implications for Teacher Performance in the Implementation of MTSS (Multi-Tier System of Support) at Lazuardi GCS School, Depok," Al Qalam J. Ilm. Keagamaan dan Kemasyarakatan, vol. 19, no. 5, p. 2886, 2025, doi: 10.35931/aq.v19i5.5554.

A. Lefteri and M. E. Menon, "Transformational and transactional school leadership as predictors of teacher self-efficacy," Stud. Educ. Eval., vol. 86, p. 101476, 2025, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2025.101476.

K. E. Hoque and Z. T. Raya, "Relationship between Principals' Leadership Styles and Teachers' Behavior.," Behav. Sci. (Basel, Switzerland), vol. 13, no. 2, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.3390/bs13020111.

Muhammad Nur Rasyid, "The Influence of Principal Leadership Style on Teacher Performance," Int. J. Educ. Eval. Policy Anal., vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 34–38, 2024, doi: 10.62951/ijeepa.v1i2.361.

S. Zebua, P. Haluk, and S. A. Pinoa, "The Influence of Principal's Leadership Style and Work Motivation on Teacher Performance," Thawalib J. Kependidikan Islam, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 55–68, 2025, doi: 10.54150/thawalib.v6i1.628.

et. al Loso Judijanto, Metode Penelitian Ilmiah. 2025.

J. E. Harianto, F. C. Umam, F. Ginanjar, Y. Hidayat, and A. Muiz, "The Impact of Leadership Styles on School Performance: A Comparative Study of Educational Management Models," Int. J. Sci. Rev., vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 124–134, 2025, doi: 10.71364/ijfsr.v2i3.23.

R. Sapitri and N. A. Purwanto, "Literature Review: How do Leadership and The Principal's Leadership Style Affect Teacher Performance?," Eurasia Proc. Educ. Soc. Sci., vol. 39, no. 16, pp. 71–79, 2024, doi: 10.55549/epess.873.

D. Maryani, "Systematic Literature Review: Principal's Leadership in Improving Teachers' Performance," PPSDP Int. J.

Educ., vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 818–833, 2025, doi: 10.59175/pijed.v4i2.577.

Saima Abbasi, Pirah Abbasi, Hira Alvi, Tanzeela Murk, and Rabia Sajjad, "The Impact of Leadership Styles on Teacher Effectiveness: A Study in Larkana Schools," Crit. Rev. Soc. Sci. Stud., vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 2799–2809, 2025, doi: 10.59075/08p39x93.

E. Puspitadani, F. Yudea, and F. Loo, "Educational Leadership and Learning Quality: The Influence of the Princi-pal's Leadership Style on Teacher Performance," J. Ilmu Pendidik. dan Hum., vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 206–220, 2022, doi: 10.35335/jiph.v11i3.24.

Rochelle Masirag Cabuyoc and Maricel Lupango Gebilaguin, "A comparative analysis of head teachers' leadership styles and their impact on teachers' job performance," Int. J. Sci. Res. Arch., vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 532–547, 2025, doi: 10.30574/ijsra.2025.16.2.2358.

K. K, R. K. K. S, S. Saima, and S. B. S, ""Transforming Education: Exploring Leadership Dynamics And Teachers' Performance In 21st Century Schools"," Educ. Adm. Theory Pract., vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 9671–9683, 2024, doi: 10.53555/kuey.v30i4.4826.

A. Saleem, S. Aslam, J. Rafiq, and C. Rao, "Principal leadership style and teacher job performance: evidence from Pakistan," Authorea Prepr., pp. 1–18, 2024.

A. Katsikas, "Leadership Style in Education: Advantages and Challenges," Int. Electron. J. Elem. Educ. , vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 397–403, 2025, doi: 10.26822/iejee.2025.387.

S. Maqbool, H. M. I. Zafeer, P. Zeng, T. Mohammad, O. Khassawneh, and L. Wu, "The role of diverse leadership styles in teaching to sustain academic excellence at secondary level," Front. Psychol., vol. 13, no. January, pp. 1–9, 2023, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1096151.

Henry Wasosa, "Influence of Psychological Well-Being and School Factors on Delinquency , During the Covid-19 Period Among Secondary School Students in Selected Schools in Nakuru County : Kenya," Int. J. Res. Innov. Soc. Sci., vol. VII, no. 2454, pp. 1175–1189, 2025, doi: 10.47772/IJRISS.

R. Pasturan, "Leadership Styles of School Heads on the Motivation, Job Satisfaction and Job Performance of Teachers," Psychol. Educ. A Multidiscip. J., vol. 41, pp. 1188–1206, 2025, doi: 10.70838/pemj.411009.