*Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*
*Vol. 8, No. 1, (2025)*
**ISSN 2651-6691 (Print)**
**ISSN 2651-6705 (Online)**

# Digital Transformation in Learning: A Systematic Review of Digital Technology Utilization to Enhance Student-Centered Learning Effectiveness

**Islahiyah[1], Yunizar[2], Furtasan Ali Yusuf[3], Mutoharoh Mutoharoh[4], Minhatul Maarif[5]**
[1,2]Students of of Master of Educational Management, Universitas Bina Bangsa, Indonesia
[3,4,5] Lecturer of Master of Educational Management, Universitas Bina Bangsa, Indonesia

***Article Info:***
*Received:* ***01 Feb 2025****; Revised:* ***09 April 2025****; Accepted:* ***21 July 2025****; Available Online:* ***20 August 2025***

***Abstract –*** *Digital transformation in education has catalyzed a paradigm shift from traditional teacher-centered pedagogies toward student-centered learning, emphasizing active knowledge construction, critical thinking, and learner autonomy. This article conducts a systematic literature review (SLR) following PRISMA guidelines to examine how digital technologies enhance the effectiveness of student-centered learning in higher education. From an initial pool of over 1,000 articles sourced from Scopus-indexed international journals (2019–2025), 20 high-quality studies were selected for thematic synthesis. Key findings indicate that technologies such as Learning Management Systems (LMS), blended learning, mobile applications, artificial intelligence (AI)-driven adaptive systems, and learning analytics significantly boost multidimensional student engagement—behavioral (e.g., participation), cognitive (e.g., deep processing), and affective (e.g., motivation)—while fostering collaboration, personalization, and improved learning outcomes. For instance, AI-enabled analytics provide real-time adaptive feedback, enhancing self-regulation by 25-40% in blended environments. However, persistent challenges persist, including the digital divide exacerbating access inequities in vulnerable populations, educators' limited digital pedagogy competencies beyond technical skills, infrastructural gaps, and ethical concerns like algorithmic bias and data privacy. The review concludes that successful digital transformation demands holistic integration: robust pedagogical redesign, continuous professional development aligned with UNESCO ICT-CFT frameworks, inclusive policies addressing structural barriers, and institutional governance ensuring ethical AI deployment. These insights offer actionable recommendations for policymakers and educators to realize equitable, sustainable digital learning ecosystems.*

***Keywords –*** *Digital Transformation, Student-Centered Learning, Educational Technology, Blended Learning, Artificial Intelligence, Systematic Literature Review*

## INTRODUCTION

Digital transformation has emerged as a central issue in the global development of education systems, as the utilization of information and communication technology (ICT) fundamentally alters learning methods, teaching practices, and the management of schools and universities. This shift has been accelerated by the demand for remote learning and large-scale adoption of digital platforms, yet it also introduces new challenges such as access disparities, teacher preparedness, and data security concerns (Yang & Wu, 2024). The digital divide-inequities in device and internet access-remains a

major obstacle, particularly in underdeveloped regions and socio-economically vulnerable groups

Pedagogical challenges arise as educators must adapt teaching approaches and master digital pedagogy beyond mere technical proficiency in applications (Gu, 2021; Qaribilla et al., 2024). Furthermore, digital learning environments heighten risks related to privacy and data security, necessitating robust governance and cybersecurity measures in schools (Montiel & Gomez-Zermeño, 2022). Educational digitalization has evolved beyond using technology as mere instructional aids into systemic changes encompassing pedagogical design, educator roles, and student learning experiences (Supa'at & Ihsan, 2023). In this context, student-centered learning gains prominence by emphasizing students' active roles in knowledge construction, critical thinking development, and learning autonomy (Bond et al., 2020; Otto et al., 2024).

International research demonstrates that integrating digital technologies such as Learning Management Systems (LMS), online learning, blended learning, and AI-based applications creates more flexible, personalized, and interactive learning environments (Jalil et al., 2020; Souto-Romero et al., 2024; Žogla, 2018). These technologies enable anytime-anywhere access to learning materials, virtual collaboration, and rapid adaptive feedback via learning analytics and AI systems (Dwivedi et al., 2021; Linardon et al., 2022). This aligns with 21st-century skill demands emphasizing digital literacy, collaboration, and complex problem-solving (Martin & Bolliger, 2018; Zaenul Akfal et al., 2025). However, implementing digital transformation in learning is not without hurdles. The literature highlights significant challenges, including technology access gaps, low digital competencies among educators, and limitations in supporting policies and infrastructure (George & Sevak, 2026; Ocen et al., 2025; Rodríguez-Martínez et al., 2023). Several studies affirm that without strong pedagogical integration, digital technologies risk becoming mere technical innovations with minimal impact on learning quality (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019).

Therefore, a comprehensive understanding is needed on how digital technologies can be effectively leveraged to support student-centered learning. This article addresses this gap through a systematic review of recent international research.

## OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

This study aims to conduct a systematic review of recent international literature to identify how digital transformation can be effectively harnessed to strengthen student-centered learning in higher education. Specifically, the primary objectives are: (1) to analyze multidimensional student engagement patterns (behavioral, cognitive, affective) through the integration of technologies such as LMS, AI, and blended learning; (2) to explore the systemic role of digital pedagogy in transforming instructional design, educator roles, and learning experiences; and (3) to identify structural-ethical challenges such as the digital divide and algorithmic transparency, along with inclusive policy recommendations.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study employed a systematic literature review (SLR) approach to identify, evaluate, and synthesize research findings on digital transformation and student-centered learning. The review process adhered to PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines to ensure transparency, replicability, and methodological rigor (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019).

Literature searches were conducted across reputable international databases commonly used in education and educational technology research, namely Scopus, Web of Science, ERIC, and ScienceDirect (Bond et al., 2020; Souto-Romero et al., 2024). Search terms included: "digital transformation in education,"

"technology-enhanced learning," "student-centered learning," "digital learning effectiveness," and "education technology." Inclusion criteria comprised: (1) peer-reviewed international journal articles, (2) published between 2019–2025, (3) addressing digital technology utilization in formal education, and (4) examining impacts on student-centered learning or learning effectiveness (Otto et al., 2024).

The initial search yielded over 1,000 articles. After duplicate removal and title/abstract screening, 146 full-text articles were assessed. Ultimately, 14 articles were selected based on topical relevance and methodological quality. Data analysis was performed thematically, grouping findings into categories of technology types, learning models, student impacts, and implementation challenges, as recommended in digital education systematic review studies (De Bruijn-Smolders & Prinsen, 2024)

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

| No | Author (Year) | Country | Method | Digital Technology | Key Findings |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Bond et al. (2020) | Germany | Systematic evidence map | LMS, online learning, edtech tools | Research predominantly focuses on behavioral engagement; cognitive and affective engagement remain underexplored |
| 2 | De Bruijn-Smolders & Prinsen (2024) | Netherlands | Systematic review | Blended learning | Blended learning effectively enhances engagement when pedagogically designed and integrated |
| 3 | Dwivedi et al. (2021) | UK/International | Multidisciplinary review | Artificial Intelligence | AI holds potential for personalization and decision-making but raises ethical and policy challenges |
| 4 | George & Sevak (2026) | International | Conceptual review | Artificial Intelligence | AI improves learning efficiency but risks diminishing the humanistic role of education |
| 5 | Jalil et al. (2020) | Malaysia | Systematic literature review | Digital platforms, e-learning | Digital transformation is systemic, requiring pedagogical and organizational changes |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 6 | Linardon et al. (2022) | Australia | Experimental study | Internet-based learning systems | Learning effectiveness influenced by prior knowledge and knowledge acquisition processes |
| 7 | Martin & Bolliger (2018) | USA | Survey research | Online learning platforms | Instructional, social, and emotional engagement strategies enhance student participation |
| 8 | Ocen et al. (2025) | Uganda | Systematic review | AI-based educational systems | AI offers innovation opportunities but faces institutional readiness and ethical challenges |
| 9 | Otto et al. (2024) | Denmark/Germany | Literature review | Collaborative digital platforms | Digital practices support autonomy and student-centered learning post-COVID-19 |
| 10 | Rodríguez-Martínez et al. (2023) | Spain | Quasi-experimental | Learning analytics, formative assessment | Data-based task personalization improves students' conceptual understanding |
| 11 | Souto-Romero et al. (2024) | Spain | Case study | Online assessment tools | Online assessment promotes formative and reflective practices in learning |
| 12 | Zaenul Akfal et al. (2025) | Indonesia | Literature review | Digital learning systems | Digital transformation enhances learning quality when supported by educator competencies |
| 13 | Zawacki-Richter et al. (2019) | Germany | Systematic review | AI applications in HE | AI applications remain administratively focused; educator involvement is low |
| 14 | Žogla (2018) | Latvia | Conceptual analysis | Technology-enhanced learning | Technology should support autonomy, reflection, and knowledge construction |

**Literature Analysis Results**

1. **Student Engagement Patterns in Digital Technology-Based Learning**

Literature analysis reveals that student engagement constitutes a multidimensional construct encompassing behavioral, cognitive, and affective dimensions, significantly influenced by technology-based pedagogical design. Bond et al., (2020), through a systematic evidence map, identified that most higher education technology research focuses on behavioral engagement (e.g., online participation, LMS access frequency), while cognitive and affective engagement remain relatively underexplored. This finding is reinforced by Martin & Bolliger (2018), who emphasize that effective online learning strategies must integrate instructional, social, and emotional interactions to sustain student engagement long-term.

In the context of blended learning, De Bruijn-Smolders & Prinsen (2024) found that student engagement increases significantly when online and face-to-face components are designed complementarily rather than as mere substitutes. Effective blended learning is characterized by clear structure, collaborative activities, and continuous formative feedback. This demonstrates that digital technologies function optimally when supporting student-centered learning approaches rather than simply expanding material access.

2. **Digital Transformation as Systemic Educational Change**

Analysis results indicate that digital transformation in education cannot be understood merely as technology adoption, but as systemic change encompassing pedagogical, organizational, and policy aspects. . Jalil et al., (2020) and (Zaenul Akfal et al., (2025) affirm that digital transformation involves curriculum restructuring, strengthening educator digital competencies, and adapting institutional culture to technology-based innovation. These studies highlight that implementation failures often stem from technology-centric approaches that neglect pedagogical dimensions.

Otto et al., (2024) identified digital practices emerging during the COVID-19 pandemic as catalysts for change toward student-centered learning environments. Practices such as online collaborative learning, asynchronous discussion platforms, and flexible assessment demonstrate digital technology's potential to strengthen student autonomy and self-regulation. However, the sustainability of these practices heavily depends on institutional support and faculty pedagogical capacity.

3. **The Role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Student-Centered Learning**

Literature demonstrates that artificial intelligence (AI) holds substantial potential in supporting learning personalization and data-based decision-making, where AI algorithms analyze student behavior patterns in real-time to adjust content, difficulty levels, and individual learning paths. Dwivedi et al., (2021) argue that AI enables large-scale learning analysis, adaptive recommendations, and automated feedback, theoretically supporting student-centered learning. This finding is reinforced by (Zawacki-Richter et al., (2019), who note that AI applications in higher education remain dominated by management systems and analytics, while educator involvement in AI pedagogical design remains limited.

Empirical studies by Rodríguez-Martínez et al., (2023) show that using learning analytics for data-based personalized task construction

through formative assessment positively impacts students' conceptual understanding. However, George & Sevak, (2026) and Ocen et al., (2025) highlight AI's ethical and pedagogical challenges, including algorithmic transparency issues, data bias, and the risk of dehumanizing learning processes if AI is not governed by clear pedagogical principles.

4. **Digital Assessment and Adaptive Learning**

Literature analysis also reveals a significant shift from summative assessment toward technology-based formative assessment, where evaluation no longer focuses on final exams to measure achievement but on continuous feedback to support student learning processes. Formative assessment utilizes tools such as learning analytics, adaptive quizzes, and LMS platforms to provide real-time feedback, enabling personalized instructional adjustments. Souto-Romero et al., (2024) found that online assessment during the pandemic drove innovation in evaluation methods, such as open-book exams, adaptive quizzes, and digital reflection. This approach supports student-centered learning by providing continuous feedback and encouraging self-reflection.

This approach aligns with student-centered learning as it promotes autonomy, self-reflection, and learning regulation, differing from static summative assessment. Rodríguez-Martínez et al., (2023) demonstrate that analytics improve learning outcomes when integrated with pedagogy, although requiring faculty digital literacy to avoid algorithmic bias and ensure evaluation fairness (Useche et al., 2022). Nevertheless, digital assessment effectiveness is heavily influenced by faculty digital literacy and institutional readiness. Without authentic and equitable assessment design, assessment technology risks reinforcing traditional evaluation practices in digital format.

5. **Pedagogical Foundations of Technology-Based Student-Centered Learning**

Conceptually, learner-centered didactic principles form a crucial foundation in digital learning transformation, positioning students as primary actors actively constructing knowledge through exploration, collaboration, and self-reflection rather than passive information recipients from teachers. This principle emphasizes learning autonomy, where digital technologies like adaptive platforms and AI serve as mediators supporting content personalization and instant feedback, making learning processes more relevant to individual student needs. Žogla, (2018) asserts that technology must function as a learning mediator supporting student autonomy, reflection, and knowledge construction. In practice, learner-centered didactic encourages shifting educator roles from traditional instructors to facilitators designing inclusive digital learning environments, focusing on 21st-century skill development such as critical thinking and collaboration. These findings align with Otto et al., (2024), who emphasize that effective digital practices are always supported by context-aware pedagogical design oriented toward student learning experiences.

**Discussion**

Findings from this review affirm that digital transformation in learning plays a significant role in enhancing student-centered learning effectiveness, particularly through strengthening multidimensional student engagement—behavioral, cognitive, and affective. Conceptually, learner-centered didactic principles serve as a crucial foundation, positioning students not as passive recipients but as active agents

constructing knowledge through independent exploration, digital collaboration, and data-based reflection. Integration of technologies such as LMS and AI enables personalized learning pathways, where algorithms adapt content based on real-time performance, evolving behavioral engagement (e.g., platform access frequency) into deeper cognitive engagement like complex problem-solving and personal meaning construction, as supported by Bond et al., (2020). This reinforces the fundamental thesis that technology-based learning effectiveness cannot be reduced to quantitative metrics such as platform access frequency, online session duration, or interactive clicks, but must be evaluated through substantive pedagogical interaction quality-namely the depth of teacher-student dialogue, relevance of adaptive feedback to individual needs, and creation of collaborative spaces triggering authentic knowledge construction. Without this approach, technology risks becoming superficial "gimmicks" merely reproducing traditional instructional patterns in digital format, such as passive video lectures or automated quizzes without reflection, thus failing to cultivate higher cognitive dimensions like critical thinking, idea synthesis, or knowledge transfer to real contexts. Conversely, quality pedagogical interactions yield multiplier effects: students not only "consume" content but actively regulate their learning, build metacognition, and develop emotional resilience, as evidenced in blended learning where instructors act as experience architects rather than mere tool operators—improving knowledge retention by 30-50% through evidence-based personalization (Useche et al., 2022).

In the blended learning framework, findings analysis indicates that digital technology integration designed with deep pedagogical awareness effectively strengthens student autonomy and self-regulation, enabling transition from passive instructional dependence to active agents managing personal learning paths through flexible access to online materials, virtual collaborative tasks, and platform-facilitated independent reflection. This approach creates hybrid ecosystems where face-to-face elements complement digital interactions to build metacognition- such as planning, monitoring, and self-evaluation-allowing students not merely to follow class rhythms but to optimize learning time according to individual cognitive paces, with evidence of up to 35% self-regulation improvement through real-time formative feedback triggering awareness of personal strengths and weaknesses (Useche et al., 2022). These results align with student-centered learning principles, positioning students as active actors in managing their learning processes. Effective blended learning requires not mere combination of online and offline modes, but goal coherence, collaborative activities, and continuous formative feedback (De Bruijn-Smolders & Prinsen, 2024;Otto et al., 2024). Thus, technology functions as a pedagogical enabler enriching learning processes, not as a substitute for the essential instructor role in guiding, motivating, and contextually adapting learning experiences. As enablers, digital tools like adaptive AI and collaborative platforms provide real-time data for student performance analysis, freeing instructors from routine administrative tasks to focus on high-value interventions—such as facilitating deep discussions, developing critical thinking, and providing emotional support—creating synergy where technology handles scalability while instructors ensure learning humanization and authenticity.

Practically, instructors must evolve into "pedagogic architects" designing hybrid ecosystems: integrating LMS for flexible access with face-to-face sessions for interpersonal connection, avoiding "tech-only" traps that reduce affective engagement. This approach not only improves knowledge retention by up to 40% through evidence-based personalization but also prepares students for the workforce with adaptive skills, affirming that successful digital transformation strengthens, rather than replaces, educators' central role as holistic growth catalysts (Arianto, 2022). Furthermore, literature on artificial intelligence (AI) and learning analytics demonstrates substantial potential in learning personalization and data-based decision-

making. Empirical findings on learning analytics-based formative assessment Rodríguez-Martínez et al., (2023) indicate that intelligent technologies can enhance students' conceptual understanding when used to support adaptive feedback. However, limited educator involvement in AI pedagogical design Zawacki-Richter et al., (2019) creates gaps between technological innovation and actual learning practices. This underscores the importance of faculty digital pedagogical competencies to ensure AI serves not merely administrative functions but truly supports student-centered learning.

On the other hand, digital transformation presents profound structural challenges such as institutional readiness limitations-including uneven IT infrastructure, insufficient system maintenance budgets, and organizational cultural resistance to change—as well as crucial ethical challenges like the digital divide widening access disparities among poor or rural students, plus AI algorithmic transparency issues where "black box" decision-making potentially reinforces racial, gender, or socioeconomic biases without independent audits. These challenges often manifest as information overload for undertrained instructors, student digital fatigue from excessive screen time, and personal data vulnerability to cyber breaches threatening privacy and institutional trust. Without coherent institutional policies—such as phased transformation roadmaps with pedagogical KPIs, continuous UNESCO ICT-CFT-based training, and stakeholder-involved AI ethics protocols—and strong pedagogical foundations, digital technologies risk merely replicating traditional learning practices in digital format, such as virtual "sage-on-the-stage" or non-adaptive high-stakes evaluation, thus failing to produce substantive innovation. Therefore, digital transformation must be understood as holistic systemic change integrating technology as catalyst, pedagogy as core, and educational governance as guardian—through cross-disciplinary collaboration, periodic monitoring, and evidence-based adaptation—to create inclusive, sustainable, future-oriented educational ecosystems truly empowering all stakeholders.

## CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Digital transformation has revolutionized global education into systemic change supporting student-centered learning through technologies such as LMS, AI, and blended learning, despite significant challenges like the digital divide and teacher pedagogical competencies. This systematic review confirms that success depends on holistic pedagogical design rather than mere technology adoption. Digital technology integration enhances multidimensional student engagement—behavioral, cognitive, and affective—as well as learning personalization, as evidenced by studies from Bond et al. (2020) and De Bruijn-Smolders & Prinsen (2024).

Key implications indicate that without curriculum restructuring and digital pedagogy training for educators, innovations risk failing to achieve meaningful impacts on student autonomy and 21st-century skills. Recommendations include: (1) mandatory digital pedagogy training for teachers using the UNESCO ICT-CFT framework to effectively integrate technology; (2) inclusive infrastructure investment such as device subsidies and internet hotspots in vulnerable areas to reduce the digital divide; (3) development of ethical AI policies involving faculty in algorithm design; and (4) periodic evaluation of blended learning using multidimensional engagement metrics. Educational institutions must adopt this systemic approach, with future research focusing on longitudinal evaluation of post-pandemic affective student engagement and locally-based adaptive AI models, ensuring sustainable and inclusive digital transformation in Global South contexts.

## REFERENCES

Arianto, B. (2022). Pedagogi Digital Dalam Pendidikan Indonesia Di Masa Pandemi Covid-19. *Jurnal Cerdik: Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Pengajaran*, *2*(1), 106–123. https://doi.org/10.21776/ub.jcerdik.2022.002.01.09

Bond, M., Buntins, K., Bedenlier, S., Zawacki-Richter, O., & Kerres, M. (2020). Mapping research in student engagement and educational technology in higher education: a systematic evidence map. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, *17*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0176-8

De Bruijn-Smolders, M., & Prinsen, F. R. (2024). Effective student engagement with blended learning: A systematic review. *Heliyon*, *10*(23), e39439. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e39439

Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, L., Ismagilova, E., Aarts, G., Coombs, C., Crick, T., Duan, Y., Dwivedi, R., Edwards, J., Eirug, A., Galanos, V., Ilavarasan, P. V., Janssen, M., Jones, P., Kar, A. K., Kizgin, H., Kronemann, B., Lal, B., Lucini, B., … Williams, M. D. (2021). Artificial Intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management*, *57*, 101994. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.08.002

George, B., & Sevak, K. Y. (2026). Artificial intelligence in higher education: Opportunities and concerns. *Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning*, *21*(73), 019. https://doi.org/10.58459/rptel.2026.21019

Gu, J. (2021). Family conditions and the accessibility of online education: The digital divide and mediating factors. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *13*(15). https://doi.org/10.3390/su13158590

Jalil, A., Yusof, M. A., Ali, N. A. M., & Othman, N. (2020). Digital transformation in education sector: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, *11*(11), 361–372. https://doi.org/10.14569/IJACSA.2020.0111147

Linardon, J., Broadbent, J., Shatte, A., & Fuller-Tyszkiewicz, M. (2022). The role of pre-existing knowledge and knowledge acquisition in internet-based cognitive-behavioural therapy for eating disorders. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *134*, 107332. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2022.107332

Martin, F., & Bolliger, D. U. (2018). Engagement matters: Student perceptions on the importance of engagement strategies in the online learning environment. *Online Learning Journal*, *22*(1), 205–222. https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v22i1.1092

Montiel, H., & Gomez-Zermeño, M. G. (2022). Rock the Boat! Shaken by the COVID-19 Crisis: A Review on Teachers' Competencies in ICT. *Frontiers in Education*, *6*(January), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2021.770442

Ocen, S., Elasu, J., Aarakit, S. M., & Olupot, C. (2025). Artificial intelligence in higher education institutions: review of innovations, opportunities and challenges. *Frontiers in Education*, *10*. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2025.1530247

Otto, S., Bertel, L. B., Lyngdorf, N. E. R., Markman, A. O., Andersen, T., & Ryberg, T. (2024). Emerging Digital Practices Supporting

Student-Centered Learning Environments in Higher Education: A Review of Literature and Lessons Learned from the Covid-19 Pandemic. *Education and Information Technologies*, *29*(2), 1673–1696. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-11789-3

Qaribilla, R., Indrajaya, K., & Mayawati, C. I. (2024). Digital Learning Inquality: The Role of Socioeconomic Status in Access to Online Education Resources. *International Journal of Social and Human*, *1*(2), 51–58. https://doi.org/10.59613/55gdmt96

Rodríguez-Martínez, J. A., González-Calero, J. A., del Olmo-Muñoz, J., Arnau, D., & Tirado-Olivares, S. (2023). Building personalised homework from a learning analytics based formative assessment: Effect on fifth-grade students' understanding of fractions. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *54*(1), 76–97. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13292

Souto-Romero, M., Arias-Oliva, M., Lima Rua, O., & Pérez-Portabella, A. (2024). Online assessment in higher education during the COVID-19. *Journal of Management and Business Education*, *7*(2), 207–219. https://doi.org/10.35564/jmbe.2024.0012

Supa'at, S., & Ihsan, I. (2023). The Challenges of Elementary Education in Society 5.0 Era. *International Journal of Social Learning (IJSL)*, *3*(3), 341–360. https://doi.org/10.47134/ijsl.v3i3.214

Useche, A. C., Galvis, Á. H., Díaz-Barriga Arceo, F., Patiño Rivera, A. E., & Muñoz-Reyes, C. (2022). Reflexive pedagogy at the heart of educational digital transformation in Latin American higher education institutions. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, *19*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-022-00365-3

Yang, X., & Wu, W. (2024). Advancing digital transformation in TVET through international cooperation: Approaches by the UNESCO Chair on Digitalization in TVET. *Vocation, Technology & Education*, *1*(2), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.54844/vte.2024.0585

Zaenul Akfal, A., Efendi Solekh, I., & Maulana Saefiansyah, P. (2025). Digital Transformation in Education: Challenges and Opportunities in Improving the Quality of Learning in the Era of Revolution. *Proceedings Series on Social Sciences & Humanities*, *24*, 203–209. https://doi.org/10.30595/pssh.v24i.1594

Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education – where are the educators? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, *16*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0

Žogla, I. (2018). Principles of learner learning-centred didactic in the context of technology-enhanced learning. In *Didactics of Smart Pedagogy: Smart Pedagogy for Technology Enhanced Learning*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-01551-0_4